

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

QADRIYYAH SABREEEN EL'AMIN  
BNT ABDULLAH BEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DUE and DUE'S WRECKER  
SERVICE,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No. 6:19-CV-239-JDK-JDL

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On September 18, 2019, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 48), recommending that Defendants' motion to dismiss (Docket No. 34) pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 be denied. The Report also recommends that Defendants not be precluded from bringing a future motion that raises specific grounds for dismissal or summary judgment.


This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Defendants did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they

are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. The Court therefore adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 48) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 48) be **ADOPTED** and that Defendants' motion to dismiss (Docket No. 34) be denied. The Court also **ADOPTS** the Report by noting that Defendants are not precluded from bringing a future motion that raises specific grounds for dismissal or summary judgment.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **8th** day of **October, 2019**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE